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## Multidimensional Big Data


$\operatorname{Data}\left[x_{1}\right]\left[x_{2}\right] \cdots\left[x_{N}\right]$
$\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} \times m_{2} \times \cdots \times m_{N}}$

Higher-order tensor
Multi-way data array
Multidimensional data

Example 1: Gene $\times$ Condition $\times$ Time
Example 2: Document $\times$ Key word $\times$ Region
Example 3: Speech $\times$ Frequency $\times$ Time

Reference: https://www.statista.com/statistics/871513/worldwide-data-created/


Number $\rightarrow$ Vector
$\longrightarrow$ Matrix

$$
\xrightarrow{? ? ?} \text { Tensor }
$$

## Numerical Computation and Data Analysis

Numerical Computation:
FORTRAN: 1950's, SVD: 1950's~70's
LINPACK, EISPACK, MINPACK: 1970's~80's
MATLAB: 1980's, R: 1990's, LAPACK: 1990's
Information Processing:
Digital signal, image and video processing
Data acquisition, storage, retrieval, transmission, security
Computational linguistics, internet search, business intelligence
Artificial Intelligence (Data Driven):
Machine learning, deep learning


Intelligent robot and autonomous vehicles
Data mining, pattern matching and decision making

## Focus of This Talk: Coherent Pattern Detection

## Coherent Pattern Detection:

Input: big multidimensional data
Output: smaller coherent patterns
Techniques:
Matrix I tensor decomposition
Low-rank matrix / tensor identification
Hyperplane models for coherent pattern detection
Applications:
Disease diagnosis based on gene expression data
Cell division data analysis
Stigma:

Removal of irrelevant features
Protein secondary structure prediction
Human facial expression analysis and classification


* NP-hard
* Inherently intractable


## Machine Learning Methods



## Clustering and Co-clustering



Classification in one direction only


Classification in both directions

## Differences between PCA and Co-clustering

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\left(x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{1 n}\right) \\
& x_{2}=\left(x_{21}, x_{22}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right) \\
& \ldots \ldots \\
& x_{m}=\left(x_{m 1}, x_{m 2}, \ldots, x_{m n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Input data:

$\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix
m samples
n features

PCA: compute PCs
All samples and all features contribute to the PCs

Co-clustering: look for subsets
Some samples and some features contribute to the co-clusters

## Genes and Conditions



## Microarray Data Matrix



M Genes

$$
x_{i j}=\log \frac{\bar{R}_{i j}^{\text {fearure }}-\bar{R}_{i j}^{\text {background }}}{\bar{G}_{i j}^{\text {fearure }}-\bar{G}_{i j}^{\text {background }}}
$$

## Different Types of Co-clusters

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

Constant

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |


| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |

Constant row
Constant column

| $X$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| 2.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 4.4 |

Additive

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 3.6 |

Multiplicative

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| 2.0 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 |
| 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| 2.4 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 3.8 |
| Linear |  |  |  |

## Example of Existing Methods

Cheng and Church's Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(I, J)=\frac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J}\left(e_{i j}-e_{i J}-e_{I j}+e_{I J}\right)^{2} \\
& e_{I j}=\frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{i \in I} e_{i j} \quad \text { Column average } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { I: subse } \\
\text { J: subse } \\
I \times J: ~ b i c
\end{array} \\
& e_{i J}=\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} e_{i j} \quad \text { Row average } \\
& e_{I J}=\frac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} e_{i j}, e_{i j} \quad \text { Pattern average }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometrical Interpretations: Our Approach

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |


| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |


(a)

| $\bar{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| 2.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 4.4 |


(d)

(b)

(c)

(f)
$z=a x+b$

## The Hough Transform



References: H Yan, IEEE Trans. SMC, Part B, 34(1):210-221, 2004; H Yan, "Curve tracing system," United States Patent 7263538, 2007

## Lines in the Hough Space


$y=a x+b$

Data space


Hough space


Quantized parameters (Voting ballot counting)

Similar Method: Radon Transform (projections at different angles)

## Hyperplane in Object Space

$$
F_{0}(j)=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \beta_{i} F_{i}(j)+\beta_{N} \quad \text { Hyperplane equation }
$$

$F_{0}(j), F_{1}(j), \ldots, F_{N-1}(j),(j=1,2, \ldots, M) \quad$ Condition variables
$\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{N} \quad$ Hyperplane coefficients
$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} F_{i}(j) \beta_{i}+\beta_{M}-F_{0}(j)=0 \quad 0 \leq j \leq M$
$\beta_{i} \in\left[C_{i}-L_{i}, C_{i}+L_{i}\right] \quad$ Parameter value range

## Hyperplane in Parameter Space

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{F_{i}(j) L_{i}}{W(j) L_{N}} \frac{\beta_{i}}{L_{i}}+\frac{\beta_{N}}{W(j) L_{N}}-\frac{F_{0}(j)}{W(j) L_{N}}=0
$$

Original hyperplane equation in object space
$\frac{F_{i}(j) L_{i}}{W(j) L_{N}}=a_{i}(j) \quad$ Hyperplane coefficients
$X_{i}=\frac{\beta_{i}}{L_{i}} \quad$ Hyperplane variables
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}^{2}(j)=1 \quad$ Normalization
Only k out of $M$ variables needed
$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(j) X_{i}+a_{0}(j)=0 \quad$ Hyperplane equation in parameter space

## The Fast Hough Transform

| $(11,00)$ | $(11,01)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(10,00)$ | $(10,01)$ |  |
| $(1,0)$ |  | $(1,1)$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ |  |


$k$-tree representation

Developed by:
Li, Levin and Le Master
$a_{0}(j)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(j) C_{i} \leq r \quad$ Test for hyperplane and hypercube intersection

## Cancer Diagnosis based on Co-clustering



Human Lymphoma Data


References:
X Gan, A Liew, and H Yan,
BMC Bioinformatics, 9:209, 2008;
X Gan, A Liew, and H Yan,
"Representation and extraction
of biclusters from data arrays,"
US Patent 7849088, 2010.

## Drug Therapeutic Effect Assessment



References: H. Zhao and H. Yan, BMC Bioinformatics, 8:256, 2007,
P. Tino, H. Zhao, and H. Yan, IEEE Trans. Computational Biology \& Bioinformatics, 8:1093-1107, 2011.

## Co-expressed Genes in Human Organs



## GPU Based Accelerators



Reference: B Liu, Y Xin, RCC Cheung, and H Yan, Neurocomputing, 134:239-246, 2014.

## FPGA Based Accelerators

## Processing Element (PE)

## FPGA Architecture

[^0]

Host PC (Data transfer through ethernet)

## Complexity of HT for Many Variables

Assume:
Number of variables: $M$
Quantization level: $N$
$\rightarrow$ Number of cells: $M^{N} \quad$ Too many for large $M!$
Solution:
Take 2 columns at a time
$\rightarrow$ Analysis in column-pair spaces

## Analysis in Column-pair Spaces

$(1,2) \rightarrow$ sub-co-cluster
$(1,3) \rightarrow$ sub-co-cluster
$(2,3) \rightarrow$ sub-co-cluster
$(2,4) \rightarrow$ sub-co-cluster
$(3,4) \rightarrow$ sub-co-cluster
$(3,5) \rightarrow$ sub-co-cluster
... $\cdot$.
Merge sub-co-clusters
Form larger ones

## Limitations of Column-Pair Approach

Procedure:
Consider each column pair
Detect sub-co-clusters (with 2 columns and many rows)
Merge sub-co-clusters

Limitations:
Tow many column pairs for higher dimensional data
Noise causes too many small sub-co-clusters
Results depend on order of the merging process

Solution:
Perform analysis in singular vector spaces

## Co-clusters as Low-Rank Matrices

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

Constant
Rank $=1$

| $X$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| 2.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 4.4 |

Additive
Rank $=2$

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

Constant row
Rank = 1

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |

Constant column
Rank = 1

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 3.6 |

Multiplicative
Rank $=1$

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| 2.0 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 |
| 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| 2.4 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 3.8 |

Linear
Rank $=2$

Coherent pattern $\rightarrow$ Low rank matrix
Rank at most 2

## Detection of Low-Rank Sub-matrices



xxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxx<br>XAAXXXAXXX XAXAXXAXXX XAXXXAXXXA<br>XXXXXXXXXX XAXAXXAXXX XXXXXXXXXX<br>XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX XAXXXAXXXA<br>XAAXXXAXXX XAXAXXAXXX XAXXXAXXXA<br>XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX<br>XXXXXXXXXX XBXBXXBXXX XXBXBBXXXX<br>XAAXXXAXXX XXXXXXXXXX XXBXBBXXXX<br>XXXXXXXXXX XBXBXXBXXX XXXXXXXXXX<br>XXXXXXXXXX XBXBXXBXXX XXBXBBXXXX

Find locations of relevant elements
Together they form a low rank matrix

## Decomposition of a 2D Co-cluster

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{c}=\mathbf{U}_{c} \Sigma_{c} \mathbf{V}_{c}^{T} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{u}_{1}^{c} & \mathbf{u}_{2}^{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{1}^{c} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2}^{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}^{c}\right)^{T} \\
\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{c}\right)^{T}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{u}_{1}^{c} & \mathbf{u}_{2}^{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1}^{c} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2}^{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
v_{11}^{c} & v_{12}^{c} & \cdots & v_{1 d}^{c} \\
v_{21}^{c} & v_{22}^{c} & \cdots & v_{2 d}^{c}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\sigma_{1}^{c} v_{11}^{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{c}+\sigma_{2}^{c} v_{21}^{c} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{c} & \sigma_{1}^{c} v_{12}^{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{c}+\sigma_{2}^{c} v_{22}^{c} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{c} & \cdots & \sigma_{1}^{c} v_{1 d}^{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{c}+\sigma_{2}^{c} v_{2 d}^{c} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{c}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{a}_{j}^{c}=\alpha_{j 1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{c}+\alpha_{j 2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{c} \\
& \mathbf{a}_{i}^{c}=\beta_{i 1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{c}+\beta_{i 2} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{c} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{j 1} u_{11}^{c}+\alpha_{j 2} u_{12}^{c}=a_{1 j}^{c} \\
\alpha_{j 1} u_{21}^{c}+\alpha_{j 2} u_{22}^{c}=a_{2 j}^{c} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{j 1} u_{s 1}^{c}+\alpha_{j 2} u_{s 2}^{c}=a_{s j}^{c}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{i 1} v_{11}^{c}+\beta_{i 2} v_{12}^{c}=a_{i 1}^{c} \\
\beta_{i 1} v_{21}^{c}+\beta_{i 2} v_{22}^{c}=a_{i 2}^{c} \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{i 1} v_{t 1}^{c}+\beta_{i 2} v_{t 2}^{c}=a_{i t}^{c}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { Reference: } \\
& \text { H. Zhao, D. D. Wang, L. Chen, X. Liu, and H. Yan, } \\
& \text { PLoS ONE, 11(9): e0162293:1-27, } 2016 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decomposition of a 3D Co-cluster



# Truncated HOSVD for tensor approximation $\operatorname{Rank}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{N}\right)$ 

$\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{T} \times{ }_{1} \mathbf{U}^{(1)} \ldots \times{ }_{N} \mathbf{U}^{(N)}$
$\mathbf{A}_{(n)}=\mathbf{U}^{(n)} \Sigma^{(n)}\left(\mathbf{V}^{(n)}\right)^{T}, n=1, \ldots, N$

## Hyperplane Structure

If $\boldsymbol{C}$ is a coherent matrix, then $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{C}) \leq 2$.
$\square$ If a coherent pattern $\boldsymbol{A}_{c}=\boldsymbol{U}_{c} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{c} V_{c}^{T}$, then the column vectors of $\boldsymbol{U}_{c}$ and $V_{c}$ are linearly dependent, i.e.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1 u} u_{1 c}+k_{2 u} u_{2 c}+b_{u} \mathbf{1}=0 \\
k_{1 v} v_{1 c}+k_{2 v} v_{2 c}+b_{v} \mathbf{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\square$ If a coherent pattern $\mathcal{A}_{c}=\boldsymbol{J}_{c} \times_{1} \boldsymbol{U}_{1 c} \times_{2} \boldsymbol{U}_{2 c} \cdots \times_{N} \boldsymbol{U}_{N c}$, then the column vectors of $U_{i c}$ are linearly dependent, i.e.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
k_{11} \boldsymbol{u}_{1 c}^{1}+k_{12} \boldsymbol{u}_{1 c}^{2}+\cdots k_{1 r_{1}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1 c}^{r_{1}}+b_{1} \mathbf{1}=0 \\
\vdots \\
k_{N 1} \boldsymbol{u}_{N C}^{1}+k_{N 2} \boldsymbol{u}_{N c}^{2}+\cdots k_{N r_{N}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1 c}^{r_{N}}+b_{N} \mathbf{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Co-cluster Scoring Function

2D Data

$$
S(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J})=\min _{i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{J}}\left(S_{\mathbf{I} \mathbf{j}}, S_{i \mathbf{J}}\right)=\min _{i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{J}}\left[1-\frac{1}{|\mathbf{J}|-1} \sum_{q \neq j, q \in \mathbf{J}} \rho\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{I j}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{I q}}\right), 1-\frac{1}{|\mathbf{I}|-1} \sum_{q \neq i, q \in \mathbf{I}} \rho\left(\mathbf{a}_{i \mathbf{J}}, \mathbf{a}_{q \mathbf{J}}\right)\right]
$$

N-D Data

Pair row and column indices
Check coherence and filter out noisy patterns

## 2D Co-clusters in Singular Vector Spaces

| $x_{1}$ $x_{2}$ $x_{3}$ $x_{4}$ $x_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1}$ | 19 | 94 | 35 | 26 | 41 |
| $y_{2}$ | 76 | 78 | 23 | 42 | 76 |
| $y_{3}$ | 26 | 43 | 51 | 36 | 47 |
| $y_{4}$ | 33 | 19 | 25 | 40 | 60 |
| $y_{5}$ | 5 | 78 | 64 | 82 | 65 |
| $y_{6}$ | 40 | 89 | 47 | 47 | 72 |
| $y_{7}$ | 92 | 90 | 21 | 24 | 72 |
| $y_{8}$ | 46 | 99 | 66 | 51 | 89 |



|  | $x_{1}$ |  | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| $y_{1}$ | 3 |  |  |  |
| $y_{2}$ | 6.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| $y_{3}$ | 7.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 |
| $y_{4}$ | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| $y_{5}$ | 3.0 | -1.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| $y_{6}$ | 6.0 | -3.0 | 9.0 | 12.0 |
| $y_{7}$ | 7.0 | -3.5 | 10.5 | 14.0 |
| $y_{8}$ | 2.0 | -1.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $u_{1}$ | $u_{2}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.21 | 0.12 | -0.41 | 0.23 |
| -0.36 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.96 |
| -0.41 | 0.63 | -0.56 | 0.04 |
| -0.16 | -0.01 | -0.72 | -0.16 |
| -0.24 | -0.18 |  |  |
| -0.48 | -0.35 |  |  |
| -0.56 | -0.41 |  |  |
| -0.16 | -0.12 |  |  |



## 3D Co-clusters in Singular Vector Spaces

|  |  |  | $x_{3} x$ | $x_{4} x_{5}$ | $x_{5} x_{6} x_{7}$ | $u_{1}$ | $u_{2}$ | $u_{3}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{2}$ | $v_{3}$ |  |  | ${ }_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}$ |  | $u_{1}$ | $u_{5}$ | D |  | $v_{4}$ | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 5 | 51 | 100 | -0.24 | -0.53- | -0.06 | -0.47 | 0.10 | -0.18 | $y_{1}$ | 31 | 4 | 45 | 52 | 21 | 5 | -0.20 | 0.14 | 0.00 | -0.45 | -0.09 | 0.00 |
| $y_{2}$ | 6 | 4 |  | 80 | 010 | -0.44 | 0.00 | 0.30 | -0.31 | 0.84 | 0.15 | $y_{2}$ | 64 |  | 8 | 83 | 36 |  | -0.36 | -0.14 | 0.00 | -0.33 | 0.31 | 0.00 |
| $y_{3}$ | 7 | 5 |  | 90 | 001 | -0.50 | 0.21- | -0.11 | -0.55- | -0.12 | -0.30 | $y_{3}$ | 75 | 8 | 89 | 93 | 30 |  | -0.39. | 0.16 | 0.00 | -0.42 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| $y_{4}$ | 2 | 0 |  | 40 | 010 | -0.17 | -0.57 | 0.24 | -0.62- | -0.38 | 0.36 | $y_{4}$ | 20 | 3 | 34 | 48 | 81 | 4 | -0.20. | 0.22 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -0.35 | 0.00 |
| $y_{5}$ | 8 | 6 |  | 100 | 001 | -0.57 | 0.36- | -0.09 | -0.02- | -0.29 | -0.38 | $y_{5}$ | 86 |  |  | 06 | 62 | 5 | -0.43 | 0.02 | 0.00 | -0.33 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| $y_{6}$ | 5 | 3 |  | 71 | 100 | -0.37 | -0.24-0.0 | -0.03 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.41 | $y_{6}$ | 53 |  |  |  | 92 | 0 | -0.30. | 0.06 | 0.00 | -0.19 | -0.50 | 0.34 |
| $y_{7}$ | 1 | 0 |  | 01 | 100 | -0.02 | -0.07- | -0.23 | -0.04 | 0.19 | -0.64 | $y_{7}$ | 72 |  | 41 | 1 | 38 | 2 | -0.22. | -0.24 | 0.05 | -0.34 | 0.70 | 0.05 |
| $y_{8}$ | 0 | 1 |  | 00 | 010 | -0.01 | 0.28 | 0.23 |  |  |  | $y_{8}$ | 27 | 78 | 84 | 45 | 50 |  | -0.25. | -0.53 | 0.63 |  |  |  |
| $y_{9}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 001 | -0.02 | 0.02- | -0.38 |  |  |  | $y_{9}$ | 96 | 2 | 25 | 56 | 61 | 2 | -0.29. | 0.21 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| $y_{10}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 001 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -0.38 |  |  |  | $y_{10}$ | 37 | 74 | 48 | 80 | 05 |  | -0.28 | -0.40 | 0.27 |  |  |  |
| $y_{11}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 00 | 010 | -0.01 | 0.28 | 0.23 |  | (a) |  |  | 70 | 2 | 28 | 80 | 01 | 2 | -0.21. | 0.58 | 0.51 |  | (b) |  |
| $y_{12}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 01 | 101 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.61 |  |  |  | $y_{12}$ | 65 | 1 | 10 | 05 | 51 | 7 | -0.21. | 0.03 | 0.12 |  |  |  |




## Detection of Hyperplanes

Detect linear patterns in data sets
> Scaling of the variable
> Generation of the starting hyperplanes
> Initialization of the groups
> Iterative refinement
> Resampling

Scale the variables for $i=1, \cdots, d$,

$$
\widetilde{y}_{i}=\frac{y_{i}}{s_{i}}(i=1, \cdots, d), s_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{T}\left(y_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}
$$



Randomly select $\boldsymbol{K}$ random sub-samples of size $\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathbf{0}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{0}}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{\mathbf{0}}\right\}$
Iterative procedure
Initializing $K$ hyperplanes

$$
h_{k}^{j}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{k}, \widehat{\beta}_{k}\right)=\left\{\widetilde{x} \mid \alpha_{k}^{T} \widetilde{x}=\beta_{k}, \widetilde{x} \in g_{k}^{j},\left\|\alpha_{k}\right\|=1\right\}(k=1, \cdots, K)
$$

Compute $d_{i k}^{j}=\operatorname{distance}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}, h_{k}^{j}\right)=\left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{k}^{T} \widetilde{x}_{i}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k}\right|$

Reference:
H. Zhao, D. D. Wang, L. Chen, X. Liu, and H. Yan, PLoS ONE, 11(9): e0162293:1-27, 2016.

Forming $K$ groups for $\boldsymbol{n}$ samples such that

$$
\widetilde{x}_{i} \in g_{k}^{j+1} \text { if } k=\operatorname{argmin}_{k}\left(d_{i k}^{j}\right)
$$

Computing the cost function $D^{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\widetilde{x}_{i} \in g_{k}^{j+1}} d_{i k}^{j}$
End

## Coherent Pattern Detection Algorithm



## Experiments on Simulated Triclusters

a

b


## Comparison with Other Methods



Matrix size: $500 \times 200$, Bicluster size: $50 \times 50$

## Example of 3D Data

A higher-order time series dataset about genomic expression of multiple sclerosis patients after IFN-b injection treatment.
> Patient: Twelve patients
> Time: EDTA blood
samples from patients before baseline as well as 2 days, 1 month, 1 year, and 2 years after the initiation of IFN-beta therapy.
> Gene: 56 significant
genes involved in IFNrelated pathways treatment.


## 2D Slices of Sclerosis Data



## Analysis of Sclerosis Data



## Example of Co-cluster in Sclerosis Data



## GO \& Pathway Analysis of Sclerosis Data

13 genes: CXCL10, EIF2AK2, IFIT1, IRF7, IRF9, ISG15, ISG20, MX1, NFKB1, OAS1, RSAD2, STAT1, TLR8;

6 patients: with the common clinical features such as the shorter disease duration, the lower EDSS scores, and relapses prior to 1 year;

2 time points: baseline and 1 year

| Table 1 Biological process of 13 annotated genes in $C P_{121}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GO term | Description | P-value | Enrichment |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GO: } \\ & 0002252 \end{aligned}$ | immune effector process | 8.81E-5 | 2.07 (56,25,13,12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GO: } \\ & 0051607 \end{aligned}$ | defense response to virus | 1.17E-4 | 2.26 (56,21,13,11) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GO: } \\ & 0045069 \end{aligned}$ | regulation of viral genome replication | 2.12E-4 | 3.35 (56,9,13,7) |
| GO: 0045071 | negative regulation of viral genome replication | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 3.35 (56,9,13,7) |
| GO: 0009615 | response to virus | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 1.91 (56,27,13,12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GO: } \\ & 0050792 \end{aligned}$ | regulation of viral process | $6.28 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 3.02 (56,10,13,7) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GO: } \\ & 0048525 \end{aligned}$ | negative regulation of viral process | $6.28 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 3.02 (56,10,13,7) |


| Pathway annotated | Term | P-value | Adjusted $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIOCARTA | Bone remodelling | 2.3E-4 | 1.0E-2 |
| BIocarta | IFN alpha signaling pathway | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| BIOCARTA | Double stranded RNA induced gene expression | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| BIOCARTA | Inactivation of Gsk3 by AKT causes accumulation of b-catenin in alveolar macrophages | 5.9E-2 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| BIOCARTA | Toll-like Receptor Pathway | 7.5E-2 | 5.9E-1 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | Toll-like receptor signaling pathway | 2.1E-6 | 4.3E-5 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway | 5.1E-5 | 5.1E-4 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | Cytosolic DNA-sensing pathway | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.1E-2 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | Chemokine signaling pathway | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 8.8E-2 |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | Pancreatic cancer | 8.2E-2 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-1$ |

## C. Elegans Life Cycle



Picture from
www.wormatlas.org/ver1/handbook/anatomyintro /anatomyintro.htm

Picture from
http://www.mun.ca/biology/scarr/4241_Devo_
Caenorhabditis\%20elegans\%20devo.jpg

## C. Elegans Cell Division



Image/video acquisition


Analysis of image/video (Tera bytes of data)


Images to lineage tree

References:
L Chen, LLH Chan, Z Zhao, H Yan, BMC Bioinformatics, 14:328, 2013.
J Cao, MK Wong, Z Zhao, and H Yan, BMC Bioinformatics, 20:176, 2019.

## Cell Matching and Matching



References: L Chen, Z Zhao, and H Yan, IEEE J. Selected Topics in Signal Processing, 10(1):185-192, 2016. L Chen, Z Zhao, and H Yan, "Method for tracking an object in an image sequence," United States Patent 10,255,692, 2019.

## C. Elegans Cell Division Lineage Tree



## Tensor data:

1219 genes, 8 founder cells (AB branches), 14 descendants

References:
XT Huang, L Chen, H Chim, L Chan, Z Zhao, and H Yan, BioMedical Engineering OnLine, 12 (Suppl 1):S1, 2013.

VW S Ho, MK Wong, X An1, D Guan, J Shao, HCK
Ng, X Ren, K He, J Liao, Y Ang, L Chen, X Huang, B Yan, Y Xia, LLH Chan, KL Chow, H Yan, and Z Zhao, Molecular Systems Biology,11:814, 2015.
XT Huang, Y Zhu, LLH Chan, Z Zhao, and H Yan, Molecular BioSystems, 12:85-92, 2016.
J Cao, G Guan, VWS Ho, MK Wong, LY Chan, C
Tang, ZY Zhao, and H Yan, Nature Communications, 11:6254:1-14, 2020.

## Analysis of C. Elegans Data



## Example of Co-cluster in C. Elegans Data



## Feature Modes in C. Elegans Data

## Table 3 The linear groups of the feature modes in the tensor data

| Features modes | The corresponding linear groups in tensor data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G1 | The number of genes in the group is 42. |
| Mode 1: | G2 | The number of genes in the group is 729 . |
| Perturbed genes | G3 | The number of genes in the group is 379 . |
|  | G4 | The number of genes in the group is 69. |
| Mode 2: | G1 | "*a" "*aa" "*aaa" "*ap" "*p" "*pa" "*pap" "*pp" |
| descendant cells | G2 | "*aap" "*apa" "*app" "*paa" "*ppa" "*ppp" |
| Mode 3: | G1 | "ABala" "ABalp" "ABpla" "ABplp" Terminal cells |
| founder cells | G2 | "ABara" "ABarp" "ABpra" "ABprp" |

## GO / Pathway Analysis of C. Elegans Data

| Table 4 The functional categories annotated by 42 genes in minimum $\delta=0.0702$ in CP122 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Functional <br> categories | Term | P-value | adjusted p-value |
| G0:0009792 | Embryonic development ending in <br> birth or egg hatching | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| G0:0006260 | DNA replication | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| GO:0006259 | DNA metabolic process | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | Mismatch repair | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| KEGG_PATHWAY | DNA replication | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-1$ |

42 genes, 6 terminal cells and 4 daughter cells of ABar and ABpr

## Protein Torsion Angles



## Protein Structure



Reference: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Protein_structure
Protein 3D structure prediction: "Holy Grail" problem
Related problems: Protein / DNA / RNA / ligand interactions

## Alpha-Helix and Beta-Sheet


beta sheet

Reference: http://book.bionumbers.org/what-is-the-energy-of-a-hydrogen-bond/

## Protein Secondary Structure Prediction

$>5000$ features:
amino acids, neighbours, hydrogen bonds
$15,310 \alpha$-helices, $20,847 \beta$-strands
Co-clustering for feature selection
Selected features used for classification


| Test | Three largest co-clusters <br> (Row $\times$ Column $)$ | Testing accuracy <br> $(1$ co-cluster) | Testing accuracy <br> $(2$ co-clusters) | Testing accuracy <br> $(3$ co-clusters) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4284 \times 10,1696 \times 9,1800 \times 7$ | 0.8426 | 0.9630 | 0.9868 |
| 2 | $4270 \times 10,1706 \times 9,1379 \times 8$ | 0.8645 | 0.9706 | 1.0000 |
| 3 | $4314 \times 10,2214 \times 8,1358 \times 8$ | 0.8587 | 0.9447 | 0.9664 |
| 4 | $4314 \times 10,1772 \times 9,1638 \times 8$ | 0.8643 | 0.9640 | 0.9900 |
| 5 | $4420 \times 10,1733 \times 9,1454 \times 8$ | 0.8600 | 0.9633 | 0.9630 |

Reference: L Ma, DD Wang, X Liu, B Zou, and H Yan, Current Bioinformatics, 12(3):213-224, 2017.

## Human Facial Expressions



Even higher dimensionality
Picture x Expression x Person x Age
Picture $\times$ Expression $\times$ Directional wavelets

## Gabor Wavelet Features

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) & =G_{\vec{k},+}(\vec{r})+i G_{\vec{k},-}(\vec{r}) \\
G_{\vec{k},+}(\vec{r}) & =\frac{k^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{k^{2}\left\|r-r_{o}\right\|^{2}}{-2 \delta^{2}}\right) \cos \left[\vec{k}\left(\vec{r}-\overrightarrow{r_{o}}\right)\right] \\
G_{\vec{k},-}(\vec{r}) & =\frac{k^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{k^{2}\left\|r-r_{o}\right\|^{2}}{-2 \delta^{2}}\right) \sin \left[\vec{k}\left(\vec{r}-\overrightarrow{r_{o}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

|  |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | III |  |  | 三 |  |  |  |
| (i) | III |  | \% | 三 | N | (1) | 11 |
|  | 171 | Pf | " | E | + | H | 1 |
|  | 71 | \% | ) | = |  |  |  |



Reference: A Amin, H Yan, Int'I J. Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence, 23(3): 401-431, 2009.

## Feature Selection based on Co-clustering

537 samples, 19200 features
Co-clustering for feature selection Selected features used for classification

| All 19200 features used | $\rightarrow$ | $89.23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3891 (20\%) features retained | $\rightarrow$ | $96.14 \%$ |
| 191 (0.9\%) features retained | $\rightarrow$ | $90.23 \%$ |



Reference: S Khan, LChen, and H Yan, IEEE T Affective Computing, 11(2):348-360, 2020.

## Summary

- Coherent patterns may exist in multidimensional data
- Coherent patterns can be represented as low-rank matrices or tensors
- Coherent patterns can be detected in singular vector spaces
- Coherent patterns correspond to natural groups in multidimensional data
- Co-clustering can be used to analyze other "big data"


## End of Presentation

## E-mail: h.yan@cityu.edu.hk Websites: http://www.ee.cityu.edu.hk/~hpyan https://www.innocimda.com

## Thank you attending the presentation


[^0]:    Reference:
    B Liu, CW Yu, DZ Wang, RCC Cheung, H Yan,
    IEEE T PDS, 25(10):2540-2550, 2014.

